To: "Stevens, Chris@DeltaCouncil" [chris.stevens@deltacouncil.ca.gov]

Cc: []
Bcc: []

From: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Fri 8/10/2012 12:55:56 AM

Subject: Re: could you give this the "once-over" if you have time?

Naw, that's kind of off in timing and sequencing. I'm on leave (down in San Diego, looking out over the bay and ocean. Rough life).

Here is a very ugly rewrite:

That's my two cents. No pride of ownership here. Not after a glass of wine. I'm back in the office on the 16th if this can wait for fine tuning.

Tom Hagler
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2
San Francisco, California 9/105-3901

San Francisco, California 94105-3901 Phone: (415) 972-3945

Email: hagler.tom@epamail.epa.gov

Email. hagier.tom@epamail.epa.gov

-----"Stevens, Chris@DeltaCouncil" < chris.stevens@deltacouncil.ca.gov> wrote: ----To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

1

From: "Stevens, Chris@DeltaCouncil" <chris.stevens@deltacouncil.ca.gov>

Date: 08/09/2012 12:00PM

Subject: could you give this the "once-over" if you have time?

We're trying to finalize intro language in the delta plan, and Felicia (before she left) wanted a reference to EPA having proposed fed standards since the state didn't act back in 1994. This is sorta cobbled together from language that's in the current draft, and we want to keep it really short (but accurate). Any edits appreciated. C heers, chris.

"State and federal officials tried, often in conflict with each other, to deal with issues of water quality, protection of Delta fisheries, and water impacts on the state's huge agricultural industry. The SWRCB worked to draft and adopt state standards for water quality without jeopardizing agriculture, and environmentalists mounted legal attacks alleging that the standards were not protective enough for fish. In addition the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) determined that the proposed standards did not comply with the Clean Water Act. Around this same chaotic time Congress adopted the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, which reallocated a portion of CVP water supplies to benefit fisheries and the ecosystem A subsequent attempt by the SWRCB to adopt more protective standards was unsuccessful after then-Governor Wilson asked that they be withdrawn.. Finally, faced with a court-ordered deadline to assert federal jurisdiction pursuant to the Clean Water Act in the absence of state action, US EPA exercised its jurisdiction and proposed federal water quality standards for the Bay-Delta. The proposed federal standards were ultimately pulled back as part of the Bay-Delta Accord signed in December 1994.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.